REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE SCOTT COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE SCOTT COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Scott County Clerk's audit for the year ended December 31, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees increased by \$58,526 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$695,547 as of December 31, 2001. Revenues increased by \$213,946 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$151,733.

Report Comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

On April 9, 2001, \$3,309 of the Clerk's deposits were uninsured and unsecured.

CONTENTS	PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	11
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	15



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable George Lusby, Scott County Judge/Executive
Honorable Donna B. Perry, Scott County Clerk
Members of the Scott County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Clerk of Scott County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2001. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Clerk. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Clerk's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable George Lusby, Scott County Judge/Executive
Honorable Donna B. Perry, Scott County Clerk
Members of the Scott County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated May 5, 2003, on our consideration of the County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 5, 2003

SCOTT COUNTY DONNA B. PERRY, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

Receipts

State Fees For Services		\$ 9,059
Fiscal Court		79,839
Licenses and Taxes:		
Motor Vehicle-		
Licenses and Transfers	\$ 731,127	
Usage Tax	2,929,403	
Tangible Personal Property Tax	2,924,942	
Licenses-		
Fish and Game	3,003	
Marriage	12,075	
Miscellaneous	9,482	
Deed Transfer Tax	164,604	
Delinquent Tax	126,326	6,900,962
Fees Collected for Services:		
Recordings-		
Deeds, Easements, and Contracts	\$ 24,022	
Real Estate Mortgages	105,708	
Chattel Mortgages and Financing Statements	96,169	
Powers of Attorney	2,908	
All Other Recordings	49,455	
Charges for Other Services-		
Candidate Filing Fees	350	
Copywork	7,174	285,786
Other:		
Refunds	\$ 29,038	
Prior Year Account Transfer	10,844	
Interest Earned	8,853	
Miscellaneous	3,359	52,094
Total Receipts		\$ 7,327,740

\$ 6,559,989

SCOTT COUNTY DONNA B. PERRY, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Disbursements

Total Disbursements

Payments to State:			
Motor Vehicle-			
Licenses and Transfers	\$	553,049	
Usage Tax		2,821,084	
Tangible Personal Property Tax		1,054,985	
Licenses, Taxes, and Fees-			
Fish and Game		2,260	
Delinquent Tax		20,193	
Legal Process Tax		34,779	
Candidate Filing Fees		210	\$ 4,486,560
Payments to Fiscal Court:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	\$	318,901	
Delinquent Tax		13,770	
Deed Transfer Tax		156,231	488,902
Payments to Other Districts:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	\$	1,433,317	
Delinquent Tax		61,861	1,495,178
Payments to Sheriff			5,678
1 ayments to Sheriff			3,076
Payments to County Attorney			15,777
Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:			
Other Charges-			
Refunds	\$	52 255	
	Ф	52,355	
Transfer of FundsPrevious Year Funds		10,844	<i>(</i> 7.90 <i>)</i>
Miscellaneous		4,695	 67,894

SCOTT COUNTY DONNA B. PERRY, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Net Receipts		\$ 767,751
Less: Statutory Maximum		 65,685
Excess Fees		\$ 702,066
Less: Expense Allowance	\$ 3,600	
Training Incentive	 2,919	 6,519
Excess Fees Due County for 2001		\$ 695,547
Payments to County Treasurer for 2001 (Monthly)		 695,547
Balance Due at Completion of Audit		\$ 0
•		

SCOTT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2001

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2001.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Clerk's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 7.17 percent for the first six months and 6.41 percent for the last six months of the calendar year.

SCOTT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The County Clerk maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the County Clerk and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. The County Clerk entered into a written agreement with the depository institution and met requirements (a), (b), and (c) stated above. However, as of April 9, 2001, the collateral and FDIC insurance together did not equal or exceed the amount on deposit, leaving \$3,309 of public funds uninsured and unsecured.





SCOTT COUNTY DONNA B. PERRY, COUNTY CLERK COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITION AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

We noted the lack of an adequate segregation of duties for the internal control structure and its operation that in our judgment is a reportable condition under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations and budget restrictions, the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. However, the following compensating controls could be implemented to offset the lack of segregation of duties:

- 1. Cash could be recounted and deposited by the official.
- 2. The Clerk could check the monthly bank reconciliation prepared by the bookkeeper.
- 3. The Bookkeeper could have no access to AVIS or taking money from customers.

County Clerk's Response:

The County Clerk did not respond.

PRIOR YEAR:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This was not corrected and is repeated in the current year report.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable George Lusby, Scott County Judge/Executive
Honorable Donna B. Perry, Scott County Clerk
Members of the Scott County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Scott County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated May 5, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Scott County Clerk's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2001, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Scott County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting.



Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, the reportable condition described above, is considered to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 5, 2003